Examiner-Initiated Interview Summ		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	2021	10/750,504	ROOD, RICHARD K	,
Examiner-initiated interview Summa		Examiner	Art Unit	
·		Terrence R. Till	1744	
All Participants:	-	Status of Application	n: <u>allowed</u>	
(1) <u>Terrence R. Till</u> .		(3)		
(2) <u>M. Saito</u> .		(4)		
Date of Interview: 10 August 2005		Time:		
	☐ Applic	cant's representative)		
Part I.				
Rejection(s) discussed:				•
Claims discussed: 5 and 6				
Prior art documents discussed: patent 6,671,924 (earlier patent to applicant)				
Part II.				
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING TO See Continuation Sheet	HE GENE	ERAL NATURE OF WHA	T WAS DISCUSSED:	
Part III.				
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a directly resulted in the allowance of the applic of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a did not result in resolution of all issues. A brie 	cation. Ti separate	ne examiner will provide record of the substance	a written summary of the s of the interview, since the	ubstance
			•	
		,		
\sim \sim \sim \sim \sim				
Jennie Myll	/A "			
(Examiner/SPE Signature)	(Applicar	างApplicant's Representa	tive Signature – if appropria	ate)

Application No. 10/750,504

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: A call was placed to M. Saito on 8/10/05 to inform her that there also needs to be a terminal disclaimer filed the application as the combination of claims 1 and 3, and 1 and 5 of patent 6,671,924 is fully encompassed by allowed claims 5 and 6, respectively, of the application. Ms. Saito faxed a terminal disclaimer, along with a power of attorney. to overcome the double patenting rejection and maintain the application in allowed status.